

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/367,244	08/10/1999	PIERGIORGIO BENUZZI	BUGZ 200106US01	4118
27885 7590 02/16/2011 FAY SHARPE LLP			EXAMINER	
1228 Euclid A	venue, 5th Floor	FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
The Halle Bui Cleveland, OF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/16/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/367,244	BENUZZI, PIERGIORGI	BENUZZI, PIERGIORGIO	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724		

	Omar Flores-Sanchez	3/24
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Edetasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The state of the provision of 37 GFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The state of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed im the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2008.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
<li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are rejected.</li>		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	be Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	ved in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		vod.
See the attached detailed Office action for a list t	or the certified copies not receive	veu.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summa     Paper No(s)/Mail	

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SD/05)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paner Na/s) Mail Date	6) Othor:	

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 12/17/08.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ess (4,392,401) in view of Kitamura (4,985,971).

Ess discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a support surface 12, a movable device 15, first and second directions (see Fig. 4 and 6, where the direction are represented by the arrows), a sawing device 10, a plurality of pickup elements 17 selectively operable between an opened position and a gripping position (see col. 3, lines 26-27, where the clamps 17 are individually controllable to grasp or release a planar workpiece. Ess doesn't show at least a pickup element is movable relative to the movable device in both first and second directions when the pickup element is in the gripping position. However, Kitamura teaches the use of pickup elements (160-161) are movable relative to the movable device (166 and 173) in both first and second directions (see Fig. 21, 23 and 31-32) when the pickup element is in the gripping position for the purpose of moving and positioning the workpiece. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Ess by providing the pickup element is movable relative to the movable

device in both first and second directions as taught by Kitamura in order to obtain a device that moving and positioning the workpiece at optimum locations and coordination. Also, Ess teaches pickup elements 17 are movable in both first and second directions when the pickup elements are in the gripping position (see Fig. 4 and 6).

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.
 The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/367,244 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3724 2/12/2011 Boyer D. Ashley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724